1894-014
Nansemond Co. (Suffolk) Chancery Causes: Sallie A. Joyner & husband vs Fannie M. Crumpler, infant et al

Eley, Pinner

Joyner & husband
vs } In Chancery
Fannie & Willie Crumpler

1893 Decr. 1st. Process issued returnable to Decr Rules first Monday.

" " 4th, Defts being infants process returned unexecuted.
M Briggs appointed Guardian ad litem for Fannie M & Willie M Crumpler
Bill filed with exhibits.
answers filed. & Decree Nisi

" " 18th Decree Nisi confirmed and Cause set for hearing

Joyner
W. J. Chaney Notes
Joyner

To the Hon C.W. Hill Judge of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County:-

Humbly complaining sheweth unto your Honor your oratrix Sallie A. Joyner (and C.M. Joyner her husband who has no interest in this suit but is joined in conformity to the law) the following case:-

That your oratrix's son R.C. Crumpler departed this life some time during the year 1893, seized and possessed of real estate consisting of two vacant lots situated in the town of Suffolk Virginia, and described as follows:-

1st The Willcox lot situated on the Southeast Corner of Market and Chestnut streets, in said town, which he purchased from Mrs. Sarah P. Willcox for the sum of $225" by deed dated the 2nd" day of January 1893 as will appear by deed herewith filed marked Ex. A" and prayed to be taken as a part of this bill:

2nd" A vacant lot situated on Wilson Avenue, in said town which he purchased from Wm H. Vicks for

the sum of $ or will appear by said deed herewith filed marked "B" and asked to be taken as a part of this bill.
That there are no liens on said real estate.
That the only heirs at law of the said R.C. Crumpler dec'd are your orator, Sallie A. Joyner mother of the said R.C. Crumpler and his sister Fannie M. Crumpler age 18 and his brother Willie M. Crumpler age 13 years.
That the said R.C. Crumpler died intestate.
That all the above parties reside in Nansemond County, Va.
And your orator further states that the said real estate is not susceptible of a division in kind among the parties entitled thereto, without a great sacrifice of interest: that it consists of vacant and unimproved lots, has no annual value and is bringing in no revenue whatever: on the contrary it is of great expense by reason of taxes, street paving liens and the like.
And your orator therefore prays

the said real estate may be sold and the proceeds of the said sale be divided among those entitled to receive the same.
In tender consideration whereof and forasmuch as your orator is remediless in the premises save by the aid of a court of equity where matters of this kind are alone and properly cognizable your orator prays that the said Fannie M. Crumpler and Willie M. Crumpler may be made party defendants to this bill and required to answer the same: that a proper guardian ad litem be assigned them to defend their interest in this suit: that all proper accounts may be taken: that the said real estate be divided in kind if susceptible of such division, and if not then the same be sold and the proceeds divided: that proper process may issue and that all further and general relief may be granted to your orator as the nature of this case requires and to equity may seem meet, and your orator will ever pray &c

R. H. Rawls p.q.

Virginia:-
Nansemond County, to wit:-

I, R. H. Rawles a Notary Public for the County aforesaid in the State of Virginia do certify that Sallie A. Joyner the Complainant in the above bill has this day made oath before me in my County aforesaid that the statements therein contained are true. Given under my hand this 21st day of November 1893.

R. H. Rawles N. P.,

Joyner et al,
vs
Crumpler et al.

Rawles N. P.

Bill.

This Deed, Made this 2nd day of January in the year one thousand eight hundred and ninety three, between Sarah P Willock of Newport News Virginia of the first part, and Raleigh C. Cummpler of Suffolk Virginia of the second part—

WITNESSETH: That in consideration of the sum of Two Hundred and twenty five dollars the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged ~~Dollars~~, the said Sarah P Willock do grant unto the said Raleigh C. Cummpler with GENERAL WARRANTY, all

That certain lot or parcel of land lying in the town of Suffolk Nansemond County Virginia and described and bounded as follows:- Viz That certain vacant lot or parcel of land situate on the corner of Chestnut and Market Streets in said town. Said lot is situate on the east side of said Chestnut Street and fronts on said Street Forty feet (40 feet). Said lot extends along side the southern boundary line of Market Street Ninety four (94) feet to the land of Luba V Hennig, thence south Forty feet 40 feet to the land of J. J. Eley, thence west along the land of the said J. J. Eley Ninety four feet (94 feet) to the place of beginning Viz Chestnut Street at the North-west Corner of the land of the said J. J. Eley. Said lot being the same which was conveyed to the said party of the first part by D. C. Nunney and J. T. Nunney her husband by deed dated on the 4th day of November A.D. 1890 and duly recorded in the Clerks office of Nansemond County court in Deed Book No 24 page 184.

and the said Sarah P Willock covenants that the taxes and charges of every description upon said land have been paid and that the property is now free from charges, Liens, and encumbrances of all kinds

The said Sarah P. Willock

covenantS that She haS the right to convey the said land to the grantee; that She haS done no act to encumber the said land; that the grantee shall have quiet possession of the said land, free from all encumbrances, and that She, the said party of the first part, will execute such further assurances of the said land as may be requisite.

Witness the following signatureS and sealS

Sarah P. Willock SEAL

SEAL

SEAL

STATE OF VIRGINIA,
County of Nansemond } To wit:

I, W. E. Barrett, a Notary Public for the county aforesaid, in the State of Virginia, do certify that Sarah P. Willock Whose name is signed ~~whose name is signed~~ to the writing hereto annexed, bearing date the 2nd day of January, 1893, haS acknowledged the same before me in my county aforesaid.

Given under my hand this 2nd day of January, 1893

W. E. Barrett
Notary Public

MEMO.—To be acknowledged before any officer authorized to take acknowledgments.

~~State of Virginia~~
~~County of Nansemond~~ } To wit

~~I, [illegible] a Notary Public for the county aforesaid, in the State of Virginia, do certify that whose name is signed to the writing hereto annexed bearing date the day of has acknowledged the same before me in my county aforesaid. Given under my hand this day of~~

COUNTY OF Nansemond, TO WIT:

In the Office of the Clerk of the County Court for the County of Nansemond the 4th day of January, 1893, this deed was presented, and, with the certificate annexed, admitted to record.

Teste: R. R. Smith, Clerk.
By A. P. Gomey D.C

55

11 Ex A

Deed of Bargain and Sale.

Sarah A Dilback

To

Raleigh C. Crumpler

Admitted to record this 4th *day of*

January, 1893

Recorded D. B. 26, *Page* 175)
1893 January 11th
Examined and verified. and
delivered A P Gomes D.C.

$

TAX, - - - - -	$ .50
FEE, - - - - -	1.25
Paid	$ 1.75

Printed and for sale at Herald Office, Suffolk, Va.

This Deed, Made this 6th day of June in the year one thousand eight hundred and ninety Three, between

William H Vicks of Suffolk Nansemond county Virginia

of the first part, and

Raleigh C Crumpler of said town county and state

of the second part—

WITNESSETH: That in consideration of the sum of

One Hundred Dollars

~~the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged~~ Dollars,

the said William H Vicks

do grant unto the said

Raleigh C Crumpler

with GENERAL WARRANTY, all

" that certain lot of land , in the town of Suffolk Virginia and in the county of Nansemond fronting on wilson Avenue in the said (4o) forty feet and running back between parelled lines one hundre(IOO) feet to the line of the Norfolk and Western Rail Road and being the same which was conveyed to the said William H Vicks by Rebecca Vicks by deed dated then 6th day of September I892 and duly recorded in the Clerks Office of the county court of Nansemond county Va in deed book No26 page83 and it being he same which was conveyed unto the said Rebecca Vicks by J I Corbell and wife by deed dated the I8th day of march and duly recorded as aforesaid in Deed book No 24 page 4I0.

The said William H. Vicks covenants that he has the right to convey the said land to the grantee; that he has done no act to encumber the said land; that the grantee shall have quiet possession of the said land, free from all encumbrances, and that he, the said party of the first part, will execute such further assurances of the said land as may be requisite.

Witness the following signature and seal

William H. Vicks SEAL

SEAL

SEAL

STATE OF VIRGINIA,
County of Nansemond } To wit:

I, Robert R. Peters a Commissioner in Chancery of the Circuit Court for the county aforesaid, in the State of Virginia, do certify that William H. Vicks whose name is signed to the writing hereto annexed, bearing date the 6th day of June, 1893, has acknowledged the same before me in my county aforesaid.

Given under my hand this 6th day of June, 1893

Robert R. Peters,
Commissioner in Chancery.

MEMO.—To be acknowledged before any officer authorized to take acknowledgments.

COUNTY OF Nansemond, TO WIT:

In the Office of the Clerk of the County Court for the County of Nansemond the 6th day of June, 1893, this deed was presented, and, with the certificate annexed, admitted to record.

Teste:

R. R. Smith, Clerk.
By A. P. Gomey D.C.

Ex B" 45

Deed of Bargain and Sale.

William H. Sicks

To

Raleigh L. C. Chandler

Admitted to record this 6th *day of*

June, 1893

Recorded D. B. 26, *Page* 411.

1893 November 22nd

Examined ~~and~~ *verified. delivered*

A. P. Gomey D. C. *Clerk.*

$

TAX,	$.	50
FEE,		125
Paid	$.	175

Printed and for sale at Herald Office, Suffolk, Va.

The answer of Fannie M. Crumpler an infant under the age of twenty one years but over the age of fourteen years to a Bill in Chancery exhibited against her and others in the Circuit Court of Nansemond County by Sallie M. Joyner and C. W. Joyner her husband.

Her respondent answering says: that the Bill in the said cause has been read to her; that she believes the statements it contains are true; that she believes that it will be to her interest to have the real estate named in said Bill sold and the proceeds divided; but that she is under twenty one years of age and therefore commits her interests in this matter to the keeping of the Court.

And having fully answered the prays to be hence dismissed with her reasonable costs in this behalf expended, and she will ever pray &c.

Fannie Mary Crumpler.

Sworn to before me this day of
1883.

R. H. Rawles N. P.

answer of

Fannie M. Crumpler.

The joint and separate answer of Fannie M. Crumpler and Willie M Crumpler by Merrill Briggs attorney at law, their guardian ad litem, to a Bill in Chancery filed against them in the Circuit Court of Nansemond County by Sheild. Joyner and C. M. Joyner.

These respondents by their guardian ad litem answer and say;

that they are infants of tender years, and by reason of their infancy are incapable of understanding or taking care of their rights and interest, they therefore by their guardian ad litem commit themselves and their rights and interest to the protection of the Court and pray that no decree may be pronounced against them which will tend to their prejudice, and having fully answered they pray to be hence dismissed with their reasonable costs by them in this behalf expended and they will ever pray &c.

Merrill Briggs
Guardian ad

Litem pro Fannie M. Crumpler
and Wilkie M. Crumpler infants.

Sworn to before me this 16 day
of Dec 1853.

R. H. Rawls
N. P.

Answer of
infants by
their guardian
ad litem

Sallie A. Joyner et al

vs

Fannie May Crumpler et al

In Chancery

This cause came on this day to be
again heard on the papers
formerly read as well as on
the report of R. H. Rawls' special
Commissioner showing sale of
the real estate mentioned in the
bill, under the decree of April 1854,
and now ordered by Court.
Then being no exception to said
report the Court doth on Consideration
thereof confirm the same
together with the sales and the
making of the deeds to the
purchasers, and it appearing to
the Court from the vouchers
filed that all the purchase money
has been collected, all the bills and
cost of suit and sale paid
and the remainder properly paid
to the persons entitled to return
the same and nothing further
remaining to be done the Court
doth order and decree that
this cause be removed from
the docket.

Final Decree 29

Jasper vs Crumpler

J. be entered

C W D

Oct 11, 1894

Entered in Chancery
Order Book No. 2
Page 581.

Sallie A. Joyner and C.M. Joyner
her husband
vs
Fannie M. Crumpler +
Willie M. Crumpler Infants.

In the Circuit Court of Nansemond County:-
This cause came on this day to be heard on the Bill and exhibits filed, the answer of Fannie M Crumpler an infant under twenty one but over fourteen years of age in her own proper person and the answer of Merritt Briggs guardian ad litem for Fannie M Crumpler and Willie M. Crumpler infants and was argued by Counsel;

On Consideration whereof the Court doth order adjudge and decree that one of the Commissioners of this Court shall after due notice proceed to take and state the following accounts:-
1st The real estate of which R.C. Crumpler died seized and possessed, together with its annual and

fee simple values.
2nd The liens thereon if any and their priorities.
3rd Who are the heirs at law of the said R C Crumpler decd.
4th Whether the said real estate is divisible in kind among the parties entitled thereto, without a sacrifice of interest.
5th Whether any party in interest will take the whole of said real estate by allotment and pay to the other heirs in money their respective shares of the same.
6th Any other matter deemed pertinent by said Commissioner or required to be stated by any party in interest.

And the said Commissioner will report his proceedings under this decree to this Court.

E W Stein
Jany 29th 1894

To Fannie M. Crumpler and Merrill Bright Guardian ad litem for Fannie M. Crumpler and Willie M Crumpler infants:

Take notice that we shall move the Hon C.M. Hill Judge of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County at his residence in Southampton County Va. on the 29th of January 1894 to make and enter the foregoing decree in the chancery cause of Sallie A. Joyner etal vs Fannie M. Crumpler et als now pending in the said Court: and if from any cause the said Motion be not heard and determined on that day, the same will be made or renewed from day to day succeeding thereafter until the same shall be heard and determined.

Dec 11 1893. Respectfully

Sallie A. Joyner
C M Joyner &
R H Rawles Counsel.

Vol V.

We hereby accept sufficient and legal service of the foregoing notice and consent to the motion and the making of the decree therein mentioned.

Dec 11th 1893.

Minnie Briggs.
Guardian ad Litem
for Fannie May
Crumpler & Willie
H. Crumpler

Entered in Chancery
Order Book No. 2.
Page 528.

Crumpler et al.
vs
Crumpler et al.
Consent for Decree

Filed
Jan 2 9. 1894

Sallie A. Joyner et al

vs

Fannie M. Crumpler et al.

In Chancery.

This cause came on this day to be again heard on the papers formerly read as well as upon the report of Commissioner R. R. Prentis, filed twenty days before the first day of this term of the Court, to which report no exception has been filed.

On consideration whereof the Court doth ~~order adjudge and~~ confirm the said report and doth order adjudge and decree that R. H. Rawles who is hereby appointed a special Commissioner for that purpose shall proceed to sell the land in the bill and proceedings mentioned, after first advertising the same for twenty days by posters or handbills, by public auction to the highest bidder, said sale to take place on some Court day before the Court House door of this County and on the following terms to wit:- One half cash and

the remainder at six and twelve months, title to be retained until the said land is wholly paid for and until the further order of the Court; but the purchaser may have the obtain after the sale to pay the whole in cash if he so desires.

But the said Commissioner may have the privilege of selling the said lots privately if he can find a purchaser who will pay $350 or more cash for the same, in which event when the said cash shall have been fully paid he may make to the said purchaser a deed for said property.

But the said Commissioner shall not act under this decree until he shall have first entered into a bond conditioned according to law, before the clerk of this Court, for the faithful discharge of his duties under this and all other decrees that may be rendered in this cause, said bond to be in the penalty of $500. and with security deemed sufficient by said clerk, and the said Commissioner

is directed to expl all his transactions under this decree to this court.
And this cause is continued.

Joyner vs Crumpler

Decree for Sale.

April 11 1894.

To be entered.

CMA

Entered in Chancery
Order Book
No. 2. Page 544

Sallie A. Joyner

vs.

Report of

Comm. Plantiff.

Dated Feby 21. 1894.

Fannie M. Crumpler

Filed Feby 23rd 1894

A. P. Gomey clerk

The depositions of J. Jackson Eley and John B. Turner taken before Robert T. Rennie Commissioner in Chancery to be read as evidence in the Chancery cause of Sallie A. Joyner &c v. Fannie M. Crumpler &c. now pending in the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, Virginia. —

J. Jackson Eley being first duly sworn in answer to questions deposes and says:

Q. 1. State your age, residence, occupation and do you know the parties to this suit?

A. I am 27 years old, live in Suffolk, am a salesman and bookkeeper. —

Q. 2. Are you familiar with the lot in the bill described, if so state how you acquired such familiarity, and whether it can be conveniently divided in kind between the owners?

A. I am familiar with the lot at the South east corner of Market and Chestnut Sts. — I live next to it. It cannot be conveniently divided between the owners. — It is so small

that to divide it would be to destroy its value. It is only valuable as a building lot.

Q. 3. Would it be to the interest of the owners to sell it and divide the proceed?

A. It can never be divided any other way, and therefore I think that it is to their interest to sell it.

Further this deponent saith not.

C. Jackson Ely

John B. Pinner, being first duly sworn in answer to questions deposed and says:-

Q. 1. State your age, residence, occupation and do you know the parties to this suit?

A. I am nearly 33 years old, am an attorney at law, I live in Suffolk, Va. and I know the parties to this suit.

Q. 2. Are you familiar with the lots in the bill described, if

so, state how you acquired such familiarity, what is their annual and fee simple value and whether they could conveniently be divided in kind between the owners thereof?-

A. I am familiar with the said lots and acquired my familiarity by reason of my general ~~acquaintance~~ familiarity with real estate in Suffolk. They have no annual value being simply small vacant building lots. They are I suppose worth about $300.- the Chestnut Street lot being worth about $200.- and the Wilson Avenue lot about $100.- It would be impossible to divide them in kind without destroying their value because of their size -

3. Q. Would the interests of the owners be promoted by a sale of them and a division of the proceeds of sale?

A. I think so, because they are

not divisible in kind and are unproductive of income in their present condition.-

Q. 4. Who are the heirs at law of Raleigh C. Crumpler, deceased?

A. His mother, Mrs Sallie A. Joyner, and his sister and brother Fannie M. and William M. Crumpler.-

Further this deponent saith not.

John B. Pinner

Taken, sworn to, and subscribed before me.

Robert R. Reeves,
Commissioner in Chancery.

Feby. 21. 1894.

Commissioner's Office,

Suffolk, Va., February 21. 1894

Sallie A. Joyner and Husband

against-- In Chancery

Fannie M. Crumpler and others

To the Circuit Court of Nansemond County.

Pursuant to the Decree of this Court, pronounced in the above entitled cause on the 29th. day of January 1894, the undersigned, one of the Commissioners in Chancery of this Court respectfully reports as follows:

That having first given the notice required by law, which notice is herewith returned, duly acknowledged by the ~~xxxxxxx~~ Counsel for the parties and the Guardian ad Litem, he proceeded on this day at his office, in Suffolk, Virginia, to execute the said decree and now having completed his work herewith reports the result.

I.

The real estate of which R. C. Crumpler died seised and possessed, together with its annual and fee simple values.

A. That certain vacant lot or parcel of land situated in the Town of Suffolk, Virginia, fronting forty feet on Chestnut Street in said Townand is bounded on the North by Market Street, along which it runs ninety-four feet, on the East by the land of Lula Herring, along which it runs forty feet, on the South by the land of T. J. Eley, along which it runs ninety-four feet, and on the West by said Chestnut Street,

~~xxxxxxxxxxxxx~~

The Fee simple value of said lot is estimated to be $200.

The annual value of said lot is nominal.

B. That certain vacant lot or parcel of land situated in the Town of Suffolk, Virginia, fronting forty feet~~xx~~ on Wilson Avenue in said Town, and running back therefrom in parallel

lines one hundred feet to the land of the Norfolk and Western Railroad.

The fee simple value of said lot is estimated to be $100.

The annual value of said lot is nominal.

II.

The liens on such real estate with their priorities.

Your Commissioner has not discovered any liens on such real estate.

III.

The heirs at law of the said R. C. Crumpler deceased are; Mrs. Sallie A. Joyner, his mother, and Fannie M. Crumpler and Willie M. Crumpler, his brother and sister.

IV.

Whether is the said real estate divisible in kind among the parties entitled thereto without a sacrifice of interest.

The said real estate is not divisible in kind without a sacrifice of interest to the parties entitled thereto.

V.

Whether ~~Will~~ any party in interest will take the whole of said real estate by allotment, and pay to the other heirs in money their respective shares of the same

No party in interest has expressed a willingness to take the whole of the said real estate by allotment and pay to the other heirs in money their respective shares of the same.

In making the enquiries directed by the said decree the Commissioner took the depositions of T. Jackson Eley and John B. Pinner, which depositions are herewith returned.

Given under my hand this 21st. day of February 1894.

Robert R. Davis
Commissioner in Chancery.

Fee $10.—

Everett Waddey, Stationer and Printer, Richmond, Va. Form No. 400.

Commissioner's Office,

Suffolk, Va., February 3. 1894.

To Sallie A. Joyner and C. W. Joyner, her husband. Complainants.

Against } In Chancery —

Fannie M. Crumpler and Willie M. Crumpler infants, and Merritt Briggs Guardian ad litem of the said Fannie M. Crumpler and Willie M. Crumpler. defendants.

You are hereby notified that I have fixed upon the 21. day of February 1894 ~~next,~~ if fair, if not, on the next fair day thereafter (Sunday excepted), to take and settle at my office, the following accounts:

of 1. The real estate of which R. C. Crumpler died seized and possessed, together with its annual and fee simple Values.
2. The liens thereon, if any, and their priorities.
3. Who are the heirs at law of the said R. C. Crumpler, deceased.
4. Whether the said real estate is divisible in kind among the parties entitled thereto, without a sacrifice of interest.
5. Whether any party in interest will take the whole of said Real estate by allotment and pay to the other heirs in money their respective shares of the same.
6. Any other matter deemed pertinent by said Commissioner or required to be stated by any party in interest.

required to be taken by a decree of the Circuit Court of the County of Nansemond rendered on the 29. day of January 1894, in a suit in chancery depending in said court, between Joyner.

at which time and place you are required to attend.

Given under my hand, as Commissioner in chancery of the said court, the day and year first aforesaid.

Robert R. Prentis, Commissioner.

We severally acknowledge legal and sufficient service of this notice.

Sallie A. Joyner by
R. A. Rankin her counsel
C. M. Joyner by R. A. Rankin his
counsel.

Fannie May Crumpler and
Willie M. Crumpler by
Marion Briggs
Lunsford & Lilley

Form No. 400.

vs. { COMMISSIONER'S NOTICE.

To the Hon O. W. Hill Judge of the Circuit Court of Rockingham County:—

The undersigned Special Commissioner in the cause of Sallie A. Joyner et als vs Jennie May Crumpler et als now pending in said Court respectfully ask leave to submit the following report of his transactions under the decree of April 1894.

Pursuant to said decree I sold at private sale the lot on Chestnut Street for $200 cash and the lot on Smith Avenue for $85 cash, making a total of $385. The purchase price of these lots was $300.

The Commissioner Mr. R.R. Prentis valued their values as follows

Chestnut Street lot	$200.00
Smith Avenue lot	100.00
	$300.00

Annual value nominal. So I am of opinion the sales were good ones.

I have accounted for the purchase price as follows:—

Amount recd from Sale $385.00

Paid out:-

A.P. Gomer Clerk	$9.13
R.R. Prentis Commr	10.00
W.H. Bomer Sheriff	12.75
Atty for fee	15.00
Writing 2 deeds	10.00
Commissions	17.00
Fee agreed on for suit	10.00
	$83.88

83.88

Amt for distribution $301.12

Paid

Sallie A Crumpler (formerly Joyner) $100.37

Paid John H Bealon G'd'n

Fannie May Crumpler 100.37

Paid John H. Bealon G'd'n

Willie M. Crumpler 100.38

$301.12 $301.12

Find vouchers herewith for all the above. Respectfully submitted

R.A. Rawls Special Commr.

Filed Sept 15-1894

A.P. Gomer Clk

Report of Sale

CHANCERY SUMMONS.

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

TO THE SHERIFF OF NANSEMOND COUNTY—GREETING:

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED TO SUMMON Fannie M Crumpler and Willie M Crumpler infantes under twenty one years of age

to appear at the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, at the Rules to be held for the said Court, on the First Monday in December 1893, to answer a Bill in Chancery, exhibited against them in the said Court by Sallie A. Joyner and L M Joyner her husband

and have then and there this summons.

WITNESS, A. P. GOMER, Clerk of our said Court, at his office, this 1st day of December 1893, in the 118th year of the Commonwealth.

Teste:

A P Gomer Clerk.

R. A. Rawles p.q.

Sallie A. Joyner & husband
vs
Jn. Chancery

Fannie M. & Willie M.
Crumpler

1893
December Rules
First Monday

Nansemond
Circuit Court
Process Book No 2 Pg 89

CHANCERY SUMMONS.

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

TO THE SHERIFF OF NANSEMOND COUNTY—GREETING:

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED TO SUMMON Fannie M. Crumpler and Willie M. Crumpler infants under twenty one years of age

to appear at the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, at the Rules to be held for the said Court, on the First Monday in December 1893, to answer a Bill in Chancery, exhibited against them in the said Court by Sallie A. Joyner and C. M. Joyner her husband

and have then and there this summons.

WITNESS, A. P. GOMER, Clerk of our said Court, at his office, this 1st day of December 1893, in the 118th year of the Commonwealth.

Teste:

A. P. Gomer Clerk.

A Copy for
Fannie Mlevenseler

CHANCERY SUMMONS.

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

TO THE SHERIFF OF NANSEMOND COUNTY—GREETING:

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED TO SUMMON Fannie M Crumpler and Willie M Crumpler infants under twenty one years of age

to appear at the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, at the Rules to be held for the said Court, on the First Monday in December 1893, to answer a Bill in Chancery, exhibited against them in the said Court by Sallie A. Joyner and C. M. Joyner her husband

and have then and there this summons.

WITNESS, A. P. GOMER, Clerk of our said Court, at his office, this 1st day of December 1893, in the 118th year of the Commonwealth.

Teste:

A. P. Gomer Clerk.

A Copy for
Willie Mcrumpler

To the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Watherwood County.

Sallie A. Joyner and
C. M. Joyner her husband
vs
Fannie M. Crumpler and
Willie M. Crumpler infants
under twenty one years of
age

} In Chancery.

Issue summons in Chancery for plaintiffs against defendants to First December rules 1883 to answer said plaintiffs Bill in Chancery to be then and there filed against them.

R. H. Rawles p.q.

Memo. for Clerk.

Joyner vs Crumpler.

At rules held in the Clerks office of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County on Monday the 4th day of December 1893.

Sallie A. Joyner & C. M. Joyner } Complts.

vs

Tommie M. Crumpler & Willie M. Crumpler } Defts.

On Motion of the Complainants Counsel, Merritt Briggs, an attorney at Law is assigned guardian ad litem to the infant defendants, Tommie M. Crumpler and Willie M. Crumpler to defend their interest in this suit.

A. P. Gomey
Clerk of the Circuit Court
of Nansemond County Va.

Order
Appointing
Guardian ad litem

Price $300.00
Paid 175.00
Now due $125.00

This Contract Made and entered into this 23rd day of November 1893 between Sallie A. Joyner and R H Rawles Special Commissioners of the first part and Luther H. Bradley of the second part, witnesseth, that for and in Consideration of the sum of Three Hundred Dollars to be paid as follows: One hundred and seventy five dollars cash and the remainder when deed is made to him the said parties of the first part agree to sell and convey unto the said party of the second part the Willcox Lot on the Corner of Market and Chestnut streets in the town of Suffolk Va, fronting the vacant flat in the South East Corner of said Market and Chestnut streets and the same purchased by R C Cumpler of Wm Willcox by deed duly recorded. The said parties to give deed to the said lot as soon as an order of Court can be had for that purpose: and to pay all taxing bills now about to become due: and to have deed recorded. The said party of the second part agree to take, and pay for the said lot on the terms

and conditions named. Witness the
following signatures and seals.

Sallie A. Joyner (seal)

R. H. Rawles Special Commissioner (seal)

L. H. Bramley. (seal)

Know All Men by These Presents, That we Richard H. Rawles and Merrit Briggs

are held and firmly bound unto the COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA in the just and full sum of Five Hundred DOLLARS, to the payment whereof, well and truly to be made to the said Commonwealth, we bind ourselves, and each of us, our and each of our heirs, executors and administrators, jointly and severally, firmly by these presents.

And we waive the benefit of our exempton as to this obligation, and also waive any claim or right to discharge any liability to the said Commonwealth arising under this bond, or by virtue of the office, post or trust herein mentioned, with coupons detached from bonds of said Commonwealth.

Sealed with our seals, and dated this 1st day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-ninety four and in the 118th year of the Commonwealth.

THE CONDITION OF THE ABOVE OBLIGATION IS SUCH, That whereas by a decree of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County Virginia pronounced on the 11th day of April 1894 in the Chancery cause pending in said Court between Sallie A Joyner &Als Complainants and Fannie M Crumpler &others defendants it was decreed that the parcel of land mentioned in said bill be sold and the said Richard H Rawles was appointed Special Commissioner to sell the same and was required before proceeding to sell the same to execute a bond in the penalty of five hundred dollars with good personal security payable to the Commonwealth of Virginia and conditioned for the faithful discharge of his duties as Special Commissioner aforesaid under this and all other decrees in this cause. Now therefore if the said Richard H Rawles shall faithfully discharge his duties as Special Commissioner aforesaid under this and all other decrees in this cause then the above obligation is void; otherwise it is to remain in full force and effect.

SEAL

R H Rawles SEAL

Merritt Briggs SEAL

SEAL

SEAL

In the office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, on the 1st day of May 1894

The above bond was executed and acknowledged by the obligors (the sureties justifying on oath as to his sufficiency) and recorded.

Teste:

A Copy Teste; A L Gainey Clerk

A L Gainey CLERK.

Richard N Rawles
To } Special Commis-
sioners Bond
The Commonwealth

1894
May 1st

A Copy

Recorded in Circuit
Court-Bond Book
No 1 Page 72

Joyner Vs Crumpler

☞ TERMS—CASH.

Suffolk, Va., July 21 1894

Mrs. Sallie A. Joyner

To HARPER, WEST & DOBIE, Dr.

FIRE, LIFE, MARINE AND ACCIDENT INSURANCE AGENTS.

OFFICE: No. 29 WASHINGTON SQUARE.

Date.	Policy No.	Company.	Property Insured.	Amount.	Premium.
July 21 94	2378820	Nor. Union	Dwelling	1500	40.50
		Cr			
$1200. Policy		By Rel. Premium Royal #3621480			
		13 days to run		.21	
$500. Policy		By Rel. Premium Royal #475-8611			
		3 years, 8 mos & 21 days to run		10 10	
					10 31
					$30.19

Recd Payment of R. H. Rawles
Harper & West
By Erambert

	Amt due	30.19
Cr. By Cash from M. Jones	4.35	
" " " J. H. Moffatt	10.50	14.85
		$15.34
By amt from R. H. Rawles		
Amount due Joyner & Crumpler		15.34

Expenses.

Sold one Colt for		$300.00
" " " "		85.00
Total sales		$385.00
Paid out as follows		
A.P. Green clay brick	34,13	
commissions	17,00	
2 clerks	10,00	
W.H. Barnes planing brick	12,75	
Fee	10.00	83,88
		$301.12
Paid John H Bedinger	$100.38	
" " " "	100.37	
" S.A. Crumpler	100.37	$301.12

$ 12 75/100

SUFFOLK, VA. February 3rd 1894

FARMERS BANK OF NANSEMOND

Pay to W. H. Bowers for Lot of P. C. Crumpler or order

Twelve + 75/100 ——— Dollars

No. ~~197~~

R. H. Rawles Special Commiss in Joyner vs Hannie M. Crumpler et als

THE FARMERS BANK OF NANSEMOND FEB 3 1894

Dennison & Brown, Lith., 2 Liberty St., N.Y.

$10.00

SUFFOLK, VA. May 2 1894

FARMERS BANK OF NANSEMOND

Pay to R. R. Prentis Dayner v Crumpler Ext in or order

Ten Dollars

No. 55

R. H. Rawles
Special Commr in
Dayner vi Crumpler

Dennison & Brown Lith. 21 Liberty St. N.Y.

R. I. C. Rentis.

$ 15 34/100 SUFFOLK, VA. July 23rd 1894

FARMERS BANK OF NANSEMOND

Pay to Harper & West, or Mrs S. A Crumpler or order
— Fifteen + 34/100 ——— Dollars

No. ~~[illegible]~~ R H. Rawles Special Commr
in Joyner vs Crumpler

Dennison & Brown, Lith., 21 Liberty St., N.Y.

FOR DEPOSIT IN
Farmers Bank of Nansemond,
TO CREDIT OF
HARPER & WEST.

$ 9 13/100

SUFFOLK, VA. May 2nd 1894

FARMERS BANK OF NANSEMOND

Pay to A. P. Gomer Clerk Cost in suit Joyner v Crumpler or order

Nine & 13/100 ——— Dollars

No. [illegible]

R. H. Rawles Special Commissioner in Joyner vs Crumpler.

Dennison & Brown Lith. 21 Liberty St. NY

A. P. Gomez Clerk
R. K. Smith Clerk
By A. P. Gomez D.C.

FARMERS BANK OF NANSEMOND.

SUFFOLK, VA., 8/9" 1894

Mr. R. N. Rawls Special Comp. 7% 8/8" 1894

We return herewith your Pass-Book written up to and including ..... 1894. Please examine, and if found correct, sign and return this acknowledgment. If any errors are found note the same on the reverse of this sheet and return at once.

Yours truly,

WILLIAM H. JONES, JR., Cashier.

By A. H. Hargrave Book-keeper.

8/9" 1894

TO THE FARMERS BANK OF NANSEMOND, SUFFOLK, VA. 8/8"

Your statement of May account up to and including ..... 1894, showing balance to May credit on that date $285.78, is received, examined and found correct, with the exceptions noted on the reverse of this sheet.

Signature .....

285.1 F
15-36
301.12

Paid for interest $14.85

Also $10.50 interest on J. H. Moffett's note

THE QUAKER MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE CO.,

$4.35 int. on Martin Torres Note

ELKHART,

for Mr Crumpler

INDIANA.

R. H. Rawles
Acct Comm
Tyler & Brantley

FARMERS BANK OF NANSEMOND,

SUFFOLK, VA.,

In Account With

FRANK FALCONER, BALTO

Dr. Farmers Bank of Nansemond. *In acc't with*

1893

Nov 24	Dept	140.00			1534
Dec 1	"	110			913
June 16	"	8			10
July 20	"	25			12 25
31	"	48	1894		17 72
Aug 8	"	200	Aug 8	Balance	28578
		333			

8/1894 Hurdgoun & Co's Draft

R. H. Rawles, Special Com'r Joyner & Crumpler — **Cr.**

250 — 9,13
3 18 — 10 80
218,12 — 1275
8 — 1.80
$ 226.12

2 25 12
75
$ 37,12

Jan 23 1894
Paid on Mrs Crumpler Account

		33300		$15-34	33300

Other Charges to Com'r in Settlement.

1894

Aug 8 Balance 21678

REMAINING PAGES IN VOLUME ARE BLANK AND HAVE NOT BEEN REFORMATTED

Joyner vs Crumpler

Costs

A. P. Joyner. Clerk. Pd		5.88
Attorney		15.00
Jay	Pd	1.50
R R Smith Clerk	Pd	1.75
R R Pruitt Com. Apes		10.00
		34.13

5.88
1.50
1.75
9.13

SUFFOLK, VA., Feby. 3 189 4

Mr. R. H. Rawles. Special Commissioner for R. C. Crumples Estate.

TO W. H. BARNES, DR.

CONTRACTOR AND BUILDER,

Office at Dwelling, No. 20 North Street.

Masonry and Plastering Done at Short Notice.

To furnishing Material and laying in front of one lot East side of Chestnut St.
25½ Yds. @ .50 ¢ $12.75

Recd Payment
W. H. Barnes

R. H. Rawles.
$12.75

1894 May 2.

Received of R. H. Rawles, Special Commissioner in the case of Sallie A. Joyner &c. vs. Crumpler &c. Ten dollars fee for Report dated Feby. 21. 1894.

$10.—

W. D. Pruden Jr.
Comm'r

$100.37

Recd of R. H. Rawles Special Commr
in the Chancery Cause of Sallie A.
Joyner etal vs Fannie M. Crumpler
etal, in the Circuit Court of Nanse-
mond County One Hundred dollars
and 37/100 in full of amt due me,
Aug. 31st 1894, Sallie A. Consolver
Formally Sallie A. Joyner

Recd of R. H. Rawles special Commr
in the Chancery cause of Sallie A.
Joyner etal vs Fannie M. Crumpler
etal, in the Circuit Court of
Nansemond County, One hundred
& 37/100 Dollars in full of amt due
me.
J. H. Beaton
$100.37/100
Execution of Fannie M. Crumpler.
Aug. 30 1894. J. H. Beaton Gar

$100 38/100

Recd of R. H. Rawles Special Commr in the Chancery Cause of Sallie A Joyner etal vs Joanni M. Crumpler etal in the Circuit Court of Nansemond County One Hundred & 38/100 Dollars in full of amt due me. Aug 31st 1874.

J. H. Beaton

Purchaser Willis M. Crumpler.

J. H. Beaton Gen

Vouchers

Farmers' and Mechanics Insurance Co.,

105 SOUTH ROYAL STREET,

ALEXANDRIA,

VA.

LOCK BOX, 166.